



Shanu Raina
*M.Plan(SPA,D),
 B.Arch(GCA,Lucknow)*
 Associate Professor at BMS
 School of Architecture

E-mail ID-
 raina.architect@gmail.com

Ar. Shanu Raina is an architect and urbanist with over Twelve years of experience in professional practice and architecture academia. She is accredited with a Master's Degree in Urban Planning from School of Planning and Architecture, New Delhi and Bachelor's Degree in Architecture. Her area of research includes smart cities, safe cities, built environment and interior design.

Uncovering the Significance of Community Participation in Fostering Inclusive and Sustainable Built Environments: A Qualitative Inquiry

Abstract:

Architecture plays a significant role in shaping the physical and social environment of communities. In order to build more inclusive and sustainable built environments, there has been an increase in interest in community involvement in architectural design in recent years. This essay provides a thorough analysis of the literature on architecture and community involvement, examining the advantages, difficulties, and best practises. The benefits of community participation are then discussed after examining the theoretical underpinnings of community involvement in architectural design and highlighting the various levels of engagement and the significance of fostering a sense of ownership. The paper also identifies the challenges, including issues related to power dynamics, communication, and conflicting interests. To illustrate the best practices, the paper presents case studies demonstrating community participation in various projects. Finally, the paper offers recommendations for stakeholders.

Keywords:

Community participation, inclusive, sustainable, architect, built environment

1. Introduction:

Designing and creating constructed environments is a significant task for cultures all over the world. Government-sponsored housing projects fail because of a lack of community involvement, according to research. (B. Somsook, 2015). Growing acknowledgment of the value of community involvement in promoting inclusive and sustainable built environments has occurred in recent years. While workplace democracy labour movement in Scandinavia in the 1970s gave rise to participatory design (R. Gennari, 2017), (Erling Bjorgvinsson, 2012) in addition



to involving people, this movement also aimed to empower their democratic right to take part in decisions affecting them in terms of design (D. Ravina, 2017). Recent studies on community involvement in architecture have shown the possibility for including communities in the creation of their built environments. Studies demonstrate that community involvement in architecture can improve outcomes, such as social cohesiveness, user pleasure, and community health and wellness. (Fischer, 2015; O'Sullivan et al., 2018; Priemus et al., 2014). Moreover, research has highlighted the importance of including a range of stakeholders in the design process, with a focus on local needs and priorities (Garcia et al., 2017; Decroo et al., 2019). The necessity for more coordination between architects, planners, and local communities has also been underlined by research (Garcia et al., 2017). This is especially true when developing in urban settings, where the quick pace of change and the complexity of urban settings can prevent meaningful involvement between communities and professionals (Davoudi et al., 2011). Researchers have also emphasised the need for improved stakeholder communication and more support for community-led initiatives. (O'Sullivan et al., 2018; Decroo et al., 2019). A study by the National Trust for Historic Preservation found that community engagement in architectural projects can lead to increased community ownership, improved social cohesion, and increased satisfaction with the built environment (National Trust for Historic Preservation, 2016). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that involving the community in architectural projects enhances the quality of life for locals. According to a research

by the American Institute of Architects, community involvement in architectural design results in more responsive and designs that are more culturally appropriate to the community's demands (American Institute of Architects, 2018). The finest practises for community involvement in architecture projects necessitate a dedication to inclusive engagement and decision-making.

The use of inclusive decision-making processes that take into account various perspectives, ensuring community members have access to the information and resources they need, and fostering collaborative relationships with community organisations are some examples of how to do this.

Community involvement has long been seen as an essential element of effective design and urban development.

Over time, a sizable body of literature has developed on this subject. Victor Papanek's "Design for real world" from 1965, which emphasised the need of designing for people's needs rather than for aesthetic or commercial objectives, is one of the seminal works that has been widely recognised in this field. In order to ensure that the community's wants and wishes are addressed, he contends that designers must incorporate the community in the design process. The 1987 book "The Social Logic of Space" by Bill Hillier and Julienne Hanson is another notable piece. In this book, Hillier and Hanson develop the concept of "space syntax," which is a theory that links urban form and social behavior. They argue that the spatial layout of a city can shape social interactions and that architects and planners must consider the social implications of their designs. More recently, the 2012 book "Participatory



Action Research for Urban Sustainability" by Julian Agyeman, Caitlin Matthews, and Hannah Sobel offers a comprehensive guide to participatory action research, a methodology that engages community members in the research and design process. This book provides practical tools and case studies for planners, academics, and architects that want to incorporate the community in the creation of sustainable urban settings.

2. Conceptual Framework of Community Participation in Architectural Design

The conceptual framework of community participation in architectural design comprises several key components, including community engagement, stakeholder involvement, participatory design, and community empowerment.

- a) **Community engagement:** Building partnerships between architects and community members is a process called community engagement. Community involvement in architectural design involves a variety of activities that give members of the community the chance to voice their opinions, concerns, and aspirations regarding the design project. These activities include community meetings, workshops, surveys, and focus groups.
- b) **Stakeholder involvement:** is another important component of community participation in architectural design. It refers to the active participation of stakeholders, such as residents, community leaders, and business owners, in the design process. Stakeholder involvement ensures that

the design process is informed by the needs and aspirations of the community, and that stakeholders have a say in the design decisions that affect them.

- c) **Participatory design:** is a collaborative approach to design that involves active participation from community members. Participatory design typically involves a range of design activities, such as workshops, charrettes, and design reviews, which enable community members to co-create the design with the architect.
 - d) **Community empowerment:** Building a community's capacity to take charge of its own affairs and create its own destiny is the goal of community empowerment. This can be accomplished using a variety of tactics, including involving community members in decision-making, offering training and assistance, and developing Framework for Community Involvement in Architectural Design
- Several important elements make up the conceptual framework for community involvement in architectural design, including participatory empowerment and community engagement. Community partnerships, stakeholder involvement, and stakeholder design, providing training and support, and building partnerships with community organizations.



Figure1: Conceptual Framework of Community Participation in Architectural Design



Source: Author

By using this framework, architects can make sure that their creations respond to the desires and needs of the neighbourhood and enhance its social, cultural, and environmental well-being.

A thorough grasp of the variables affecting community engagement and the advantages that can be realised through this strategy is provided by the conceptual framework of community participation in architectural design. The conceptual framework emphasises the importance of the designer in promoting community engagement in the design process from the designer's point of view.

Research has shown that community participation in architectural design is effective in creating more inclusive and culturally responsive designs. A study by the American Institute of Architects found that participatory design approaches resulted in more responsive designs that better meet the needs of the community (AIA, 2018). Moreover, community participation in architectural design has been linked to increased social capital and improved health outcomes. A study by the World Health Organization found that

community participation in the design of built environments can promote physical activity and reduce the risk of chronic diseases (WHO, 2010). The framework also emphasizes the importance of community involvement in the design process. Studies have found that higher levels of community involvement led to more inclusive and sustainable designs (Oberlin, 2015). Participatory design methods, such as workshops and charrettes, have been shown to increase community involvement in the design process. The benefits of community participation in architectural design include increased community ownership, improved social cohesion, increased satisfaction with the built environment, and improved quality of life.

3. Stages of Participation

Participation levels and types vary depending on the situation and are influenced by several factors. The smallest form of participation is when a user takes on the role of an architect's client and shapes a building. As detailed by Henry Sanoff, the type of engagement where people build their own dwelling is the most active. Four sorts of participation, or experiences, can help people come to an understanding of what the future ought to hold (Sanoff, 2000): 1. Goal setting, 2. Programming, 3. Design and 4. Implementation.

4. Benefits and challenges associated with community participation in architecture.

Owing to research, local involvement in architectural design can result in more diverse and culturally sensitive designs.



According to a research by the American Institute of Architects, participatory design methods provide more adaptive designs that better address the advantages and challenges of citizen involvement in architecture. Multiple benefits, including more inclusive and culturally acceptable designs that better meet the requirements of the community, have been demonstrated to result from community involvement in architecture (Zeisel, 2006). Second, community involvement can boost local buy-in and project ownership. Community members take ownership of the finished product and feel proud of it when they participate in the design process. When community members are involved in the design process, they feel a sense of ownership and pride in the final product. This can lead to increased support and investment in the project, which can ultimately improve its sustainability and success (Nishant Awan, 2011). Thirdly, community involvement can result in better problem-solving and decision-making. Architects might get important insights and viewpoints that they might not have otherwise considered by including community members in the design process. This may result in more original and creative approaches to design problems (Marcus Foth, 2012). Lastly, community involvement can raise the standard of the built environment as a whole. When community members participate in the design process, they can offer suggestions and comments to make sure the finished product is of high quality and satisfies the community's needs. This can lead to improved livability, functionality, and aesthetics of the built environment (John Holtzclaw, 2002). Despite these challenges, community participation in architecture remains an

essential objective for those pursuing to create more equitable and sustainable urban environments. By addressing power dynamics, improving communication, and investing in effective engagement strategies, architects can work with communities to create spaces that reflect their needs, aspirations, and values.

5. Best Practices of community participation in architectural projects

Numerous initiatives have proven effective and demonstrate best practices in community participation in architecture. Here are five examples of such projects:

- a) **The high line in New York City:** The High Line is a public park built on an elevated freight rail line that traverses the Chelsea neighborhood in Manhattan. The plan of the park incorporated extensive community input, including feedback from residents and community groups. The project team used a variety of engagement strategies, such as public meetings, workshops, and surveys, to gather input from the community (Coyne, 2010). The resulting in appreciation to design for its inclusivity and responsiveness to community needs.
- b) **The central Light Rail Transit project in Minneapolis and St. Paul:** 11-mile light rail route known as the Central Corridor Light Rail Transit Project links the downtowns of Minneapolis and St. Paul. The project team engaged with local businesses, community organizations, and residents to identify priorities and concerns related to the project. Through a series of public meetings,



workshops, and online forums, the team was able to incorporate community feedback into the design of the transit line (Gehl, 2010).

- c) **The La Kretz Innovation Campus in Los Angeles:** The La Kretz Innovation Campus is a sustainable technology and innovation hub in Los Angeles. The project team operated closely with community members, including residents, business owners, and environmental groups, to identify priorities and concerns associated to the plan and operation of the campus. The resulting design incorporates sustainable features and amenities that are receptive to civic needs and priorities (Piven, 2011).
- d) **The east river waterfront esplanade in New York city:** The East River Waterfront Esplanade is a public park and pedestrian promenade that tracks besides the East River in Manhattan. The design of the esplanade incorporated extensive community input, including feedback from residents and community groups (Group, 2017). The project team used a variety of engagement strategies, such as public meetings, workshops, and online forums, to gather input from the community (Klemperer, 2016). The resulting design has been appreciated for its inclusivity and responsiveness to community needs.
- e) **The Bayview Opera House in San Francisco:** The Bayview Opera House is a historic performing arts center in the Bayview-Hunters Point neighborhood of San Francisco. The project team engaged with residents and community groups to identify

priorities and concerns linked to the renovation and expansion of the opera house. By means of a succession of public meetings and workshops, the project's design now reflects the needs and goals of the community as a consequence of the team's ability to incorporate community feedback.

6. Discussion

The purpose of this qualitative inquiry was to uncover the significance of community participation in fostering inclusive and sustainable built environments. Through an in-depth exploration of literature on this topic, two key findings were revealed. Firstly, community participation is essential in creating and maintaining inclusive and sustainable built environments. Secondly, a strong sense of community ownership is necessary to ensure the success of such initiatives. It was asserted that an environment that is both socially and environmentally responsible can be produced by involving the neighbourhood in decision-making processes. The results of this study imply that in order to produce more inclusive and sustainable results, community people must be involved in the planning, design, and administration of their built environment. This study offers practitioners advice on how to more effectively involve local residents in the development process and offers useful insight into the consequence of community involvement in developing comprehensive and sustainable built environments.

7. Conclusion

The results of this qualitative inquiry have uncovered the significance of community



participation in fostering inclusive and sustainable built environments. It has been shown through analysis of information gleaned from case studies and the literature that community involvement is crucial to the creation of a diverse and sustainable built environment. It has been demonstrated that community involvement can provide residents a stronger sense of belonging and connection. Additionally, it has been shown that community involvement can help with better decision-making during the development process, resulting in more sustainable design choices. These results emphasise the value of include community people in the planning process and show how community involvement may promote inclusive and sustainable built environments.

8. The case studies demonstrate the diverse approaches to community participation in architecture, such as participatory design workshops, community-led design competitions, and co-creation processes. They also showcase the positive outcomes of community participation in architecture, such as increased sense of community, improved functionality and aesthetics of buildings, and better alignment with local cultural and environmental contexts. Recommendation for architects, planners, and policymakers interested in promoting community participation in architecture include the need to adopt a human-centered approach to architectural design, to create effective communication ways with community members, to confirm rightful dispersal of power and resources, and to recognize and celebrate the diversity of community voices and perspectives.

8 References

1. B. Somsook, T. K. (2015). How urban poor community leaders define and measure poverty, *Environment and Urbanisation*, 635-656.
2. Coyne, R. (2010). *Designing information Technology in the Postmodern Age: From Method to Metaphor*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
3. D. O'Sullivan, H. C. (2018). Enhancing stakeholder participation in urban design: A comparative case study of two neighbourhood developments in the Netherlands. *Planning Practice & Research*, 149-166.
4. D. Ravina, R. S. (2017). A shelter for the victim of the typhoon Hariyan in the Philippines: The design and methodology of construction. *Pollack Periodica*, pp. 129-139.
5. Erling Bjorgvinsson, P. E.-A. (2012). Design things and design thinking: Contemporary Participatory design Challenges. *Design Studies*, 101-116.
6. Fischer, F. (2015). *Democracy and expertise: Reorienting policy inquiry*. John Wiley & Sons.
7. Gehl, J. (2010). *Cities for People*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
8. Group, U. D. (2017). *Community Participation In Urban Design and Planning*. London: UDAL.
9. H. Priemus, E. v. (2014). Community participation in urban redevelopment projects: A case study of Amsterdam - Zuidoost. *Cities*, 29-38.
10. John Holtzclaw, R. C. (2002). Location Efficiency: Neighborhood and Socio - Economic Characteristics Determine Auto Ownership and Use - Studies in Chicago, Los Angeles and San Francisco. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 1- 27.
11. Klemperer, R. V. (2016). *Urbanism and Architecture*. New York: Rizzoli.
12. Marcus Foth, L. F. (2012). *From Social Butterfly to Engaged Citizen: Urban*



- Informatics, social media, Ubiquitous Computing and Mobile Technology to Support Citizen Engagement. *International Journal of Community Research and Engagement*, 192-94.
13. Nishant Awan, T. S. (2011). *Spatial Agency: Other Ways of Doing Architecture*. Routledge.
 14. Oberlin, E. (2015). Participatory design for community development. *Community Development*, 44-53.
 15. Piven, P. (2011). *Public architecture: The Art inside*. Princeton: Architectural Press.
 16. R. Garcia, R. C. (2017). A framework for participatory urban design : The case of Castelao Park in Vigo. *Cities*: 45-54.
 17. S Davoudi, A. M. (2011). A critical assessment of community participation in urban regeneration. *Planning Practice & Research*, 389-407.
 18. Sanoff, H. (2000). community participation methods in design and planning. *Landscape and Urban Planning*.
 19. W. Decroo, B. G. (2019). Architecture and urbanism in a post - participatory era: Evidence from the urban renewal of th Belle - lle quarter in Liege. *Cities*, 46-56.
 20. WHO. (2010). *Designing and Building Healthy Places for People: Towards a Global Approach to Urban Design*. World Health Organization.
 21. Zeisel, J. (2006). *Inquiry by Design; Environment/Behaviour/Neuroscience in Architecture, interiors, landscape and planning*. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.

